Application Number: 10/761594

13745

Page 6 of 8

REMARKS

Claim 30 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for

failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Claims 2-3, 5, 9, 11-13, 16-18 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Smith et al US 5385157.

Claims 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al as

applied to claim 11 and further in view of Nicotra US 5862809.

Claims 14-15 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith

as applied to claims 13 and 3 and further in view of Lustbader US 3405719.

Claims 19 and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith

as applied to claim 11 and further in view of Kojima US 4996995.

Claims 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith as

applied to claim 11 and further in view of Hernlein US 5605226.

Smith discloses nothing about thermal capacity of structure similar to the presently claimed

closure body. Smith is a thin-walled closure body. It is not designed to, and in its disclosed

form physically cannot store heat from a cigarette in the thermal capacity of a conducting

element. This reference has no structure which would conduct and store heat from a

cigarette into a heat sink element such as the claimed closure body of the present

invention. Smith depends entirely on a quick air-starvation step to extinguish the burning

Application Number: 10/761594

13745

Page 7 of 8

element, precisely because it has no ability to accommodate the heat from a cigarette. The

cage element 40 of the Smith reference teaches away from the purposeful conduction of

heat into the material of its structure. The Examiner cannot point to an element in Smith

equivalent to the claimed solid closure body of the presently claimed invention, because

none exists.

The presently claimed invention purposely is arranged to store essentially all the heat from

a burning cigarette.

Kojima discloses no heat sink structure.

Hernlein holds lighted cigarettes which are intended to remain lit, and other functions of a

cigarette caddy, all unrelated to the heat sink extinguishing features of the present

invention.

Lustbader discloses no heat sink structure.

Nicotra discloses no heat sink structure.

The details from each of the cited references aside from Smith are not combinable to form

the claimed structure, because the basic reference Smith is far afield from the essential

claimed elements.

The Examiner simply has not produced a reference in this field which discloses the claimed

heat sink feature. The cited references all teach away from purposely absorbing whatever

heat is present in a cigarette through the use of specially selected materials.

Application Number: 10/761594

13745

Page 8 of 8

CONCLUSION

Applicant asserts that all of the objections have been obviated, and now therefore

respectfully requests withdrawal of those objections and an allowance of this application.

PETITION FOR AN EXTENSION OF THE TERM

Applicant petitions the Commissioner of the United States Patent and Trademark Office to extend the time for reply to the Office Action dated 18 April 2007 for three-month from 18 July 2007 to 18 October 2007. Submitted herewith is a check for \$510 to cover the cost of the extensions. Any deficiency or overpayment should be charged or credited to our deposit

account number 04-2219, referencing our docket number 13745.

Repectfully submitted,

Keith H. Orum

Attorney for Applicant

Registration Number 33985

DRUM & ROTH LLC

53 WEST JACKSON BOULEVARD CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60604-3606

312.922.6262 PHONE

312.922.7747 FAX

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited as First Class Mail with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on

18 October 2007.

Keith Orum

2543.13745